## Solution for Exercise 6 (Wednesday Session)

**Lemma 0.1** Assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension n-1,  $\mu \notin \mathcal{U}$ , and f is homogeneous (say of degree  $\alpha$ ). Then,  $k(\mathcal{U}) = k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, for  $k := k(\mathcal{U}) = k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $T_k$  is positive semidefinite (or negative semidefinite, indefinite, respectively) on  $\mathcal{U}$  if and only  $T_k$  is positive semidefinite (or negative semidefinite, indefinite, respectively) on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof**  $T_0 = T_0(h)$  is the constant function with value  $f(\mu)$ . If  $f(\mu) \neq 0$ , then  $k(\mathcal{U}) = k(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$ . We can therefore assume that  $f(\mu) = 0$  (which implies that  $k(\mathbb{R}^n) \geq 1$ ).

Let  $k := k(\mathcal{U})$ . Clearly,  $k(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq k$ . In order to prove that  $k(\mathbb{R}^n) \geq k$ , it suffices to show that  $T_0, \ldots, T_{k-1}$  vanish on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $T_0 = f(\mu) = 0$ , this holds. Assume inductively that, for some  $l \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}, T_{l-1}$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This implies that  $(\nabla^{l-1}f(\mu))_{i_1,\ldots,i_{l-1}} = 0$  for every sequence  $1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_{l-1} \leq n$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is (n-1)-dimensional and  $\mu \notin \mathcal{U}$ , an arbitrary vector from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be written in the form  $u + \xi$  where  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\xi = \gamma \mu$  is a scalar multiple of  $\mu$ . We proceed with a calculation which demonstrates that  $T_l(u + \xi) = 0$ :

$$\begin{split} l!T_{l}(u+\xi) &= \sum_{i_{1},...,i_{l}} (\nabla^{l}f(\mu))_{i_{1},...,i_{l}}(u_{i_{1}}+\xi_{i_{1}})\cdots(u_{i_{l}}+\xi_{i_{l}}) \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} l!T_{l}(u) + \sum_{i_{1},...,i_{l-1}} (u_{i_{1}}+\xi_{i_{1}})\cdots(u_{i_{l-1}}+\xi_{i_{l-1}}) \sum_{i_{l}} (\nabla^{l}f(\mu))_{i_{1},...,i_{l}}\xi_{i_{l}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{1},...,i_{l-2},i_{l}} (u_{i_{1}}+\xi_{i_{1}})\cdots(u_{i_{l-2}}+\xi_{i_{l-2}})u_{i_{l}} \sum_{i_{l-1}} (\nabla^{l}f(\mu))_{i_{1},...,i_{l}}\xi_{i_{l-1}} \\ &+ \cdots + \sum_{i_{2},...,i_{l}} u_{i_{2}}\cdots u_{i_{l}} \sum_{i_{1}} (\nabla^{l}f(\mu))_{i_{1},...,i_{l}}\xi_{i_{1}} \\ &= l!T_{l}(u) + \gamma \cdot \sum_{i_{1},...,i_{l-1}} (u_{i_{1}}+\xi_{i_{1}})\cdots(u_{i_{l-2}}+\xi_{i_{l-2}})u_{i_{l}} (\nabla(\nabla^{l-1}f(\mu))_{i_{1},...,i_{l-2},i_{l}})^{\top}\mu \\ &+ \gamma \cdot \sum_{i_{1},...,i_{l-2},i_{l}} (u_{i_{1}}+\xi_{i_{1}})\cdots(u_{i_{l-2}}+\xi_{i_{l-2}})u_{i_{l}} (\nabla(\nabla^{l-1}f(\mu))_{i_{1},...,i_{l-2},i_{l}})^{\top}\mu \\ &+ \cdots + \gamma \cdot \sum_{i_{2},...,i_{l}} u_{i_{2}}\cdots u_{i_{l}} (\nabla(\nabla^{l-1}f(\mu))_{i_{2},...,i_{l}})^{\top}\mu \\ &= l!T_{l}(u) = 0 \end{split}$$

Here, all indices  $i_1, \ldots, i_l$  range from 1 to n. In the equation marked "\*", we simply applied the distributive law to the product  $(u_{i_1} + \xi_{i_1}) \cdots (u_{i_l} + \xi_{i_l})$ . In the final equations (claiming that the whole sum collapses to zero), we made use of the following facts:

- $T_l(u) = 0$  because  $T_l$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ .
- According to Exercise 5,  $(\nabla^{l-1} f(w))_{i_1,\dots,i_{l-1}}$  is homogeneous of degree  $\alpha l + 1$ . Euler's homogeneity relation (with w set to  $\mu$ ) then yields

$$(\nabla (\nabla^{l-1} f(\mu))_{i_1,\dots,i_{l-1}})^\top \mu = (\alpha - l + 1)(\nabla^{l-1} f(\mu))_{i_1,\dots,i_{l-1}} = 0 ,$$

where the last equation holds because, by our induction hypothesis,  $T_{l-1}$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

These observations complete the inductive proof for  $k := k(\mathcal{U}) = k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In order to complete the proof, let us inspect the initial part of the above calculation which showed that  $T_l(u + \xi) = T_l(u)$ . This part is not only valid for l = 1, ..., k - 1 but also for l = k. Thus,  $T_k(u+\xi) = T_k(u)$ . Therefore, positive semi-definiteness of  $T_k$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  implies positive semi-definiteness of  $T_k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Analogously for negative semi-definiteness. Clearly, indefiniteness of  $T_k$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  implies indefiniteness of  $T_k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .