

Universität des Saarlandes FR 6.2 Informatik



## Prof. Dr. Benjamin Doerr, Dr. Danny Hermelin, Dr. Reto Spöhel

Summer 2011

## **Solution for Exercise 7**

Exercise 1 oral homework, total 8 points via test

(a) —

(b) The following graph is a subdivision of  $K_{3,3}$  that contains 10 vertices. Green vertices are the subdividing vertices.



(c) A graph *X* is a minor of a graph *G* if *G* contains an inflation of *X* as a subgraph.

(d) True. We proved in the lecture that every minor *X* of *G* is also a topological minor of *G* whenever  $\Delta(X) \leq 3$  (Proposition 1.7.4 in Diestel). As  $\Delta(K_{3,3}) = 3$ , the claim follows.

(e) (Corollary 1.7.3 in Diestel) Let *X* and *G* be finite graphs. Then *X* is a minor of *G* iff there are graphs  $G_0, \ldots, G_n$  such that  $G_0 = G$  and  $G_n = X$  and each  $G_{i+1}$  arises from  $G_i$  by deleting an edge, contracting an edge, or deleting a vertex.

(f) In the proof of Lemma 4.4.3, we contract an edge xy in our given graph G, and let  $v_{xy}$  be the new vertex. For the proof to follow we need all neighbors of  $v_{xy}$  to lie on some cycle in G/xy. To get this, we use the fact that since G/xy is 3-connected,  $G/xy - v_{xy}$  is 2-connected, and so by proposition 4.2.6 all neighbors of  $v_{xy}$  in G/xy lie on a cycle.

We need the assumption that *G* does not contain  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$  as minors, and not as topological minors, because we want to contract an arbitrary edge xy in *G*. We use the fact that if G/xy has a minor *X*, then *G* will also have *X* as its minor. Since we are contracting an arbitrary edge, this holds only for minors and not necessarily for topological minors.

## Exercise 2

(a) Consider a set of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  which is closed under minors, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of minor-minimal graphs which are not in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is antichain in the minor order, and so it is finite by Robertson and Seymour's Graph Minor Theorem. To complete the proof, we show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a forbidden minor characterization of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Let *G* be an arbitrary graph. If *G* contains a minor *F* for some  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $G \notin \mathcal{G}$  since otherwise we would have  $F \in \mathcal{G}$  by the fact that  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under minors; a contradiction to the definition of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Conversely, if *G* does not contain any minor  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , then it must be that  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , since  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of all minor-minimal graphs which do not belong to  $\mathcal{G}$ . It therefore follows that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a forbidden minor characterization of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

(b) Consider a set of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  which is closed under minors, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite forbidden minor characterization of  $\mathcal{G}$  (which exists according to (a)). We need to show that  $\mathcal{G}$  also has a finite forbidden *topological* minor characterization. For this, as  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite, it is enough to show that for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists a finite set of graphs  $\mathcal{H}(F)$  such that F is minor of G iff H is a topological minor of G for some  $H \in \mathcal{H}(F)$ .

So fix some arbitrary  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . For each  $x \in V(F)$ , let  $\mathcal{T}_x$  denote the set of all trees with at most  $d_H(x)$  leafs, and with no internal vertices of degree 2. Then each tree in  $\mathcal{T}_x$  has at less than  $2 \cdot d_H(x)$  vertices, and so  $\mathcal{T}_x$  is finite. Now consider a graph H obtained by replacing each vertex  $x \in V(F)$  with a tree  $T_x \in \mathcal{T}_x$ , and connecting two leafs of  $T_x$  and  $T_y$  whenever  $xy \in E(F)$ . By construction we have

*H* is a topological minor of  $G \Rightarrow F$  is a minor of *G*.

Furthermore, any inflation of *F* contains as a topological minor a graph *H* that can be constructed as above. Thus, letting  $\mathcal{H}(F)$  denote the set of all such graphs *H*, we have

*F* is a minor of *G*  $\iff$  *H* is a topological minor of *G* for some *H*  $\in$   $\mathcal{H}(F)$ 

as required. Since  $T_x$  is finite for each  $x \in V(F)$ , we get that  $\mathcal{H}(F)$  is finite, and we are done.

## Exercise 3

(a) Let *G* be an outerplanar graph, and let  $\tilde{G}$  denote a planar drawing of *G* with all vertices lying on the boundary of the outer face. Consider the graph *H* obtained from *G* by adding a new vertex *v* which is adjacent to all vertices of *G*. Since all vertices of *G* lie on the boundary of the outer face *f* in  $\tilde{G}$ , we can connect any point *p* in *f* to all vertices of  $\tilde{G}$  by Jordan curves which intersect each other only at *p*. In this way, we can extend  $\tilde{G}$  to a planar drawing of *H*, and so *H* is planar.

Conversely, let *G* be a graph such that the graph *H* obtained by adding a vertex *v* that is connected to every vertex in *G* is planar. Consider some planar drawing  $\tilde{H}$  of *H*. Since *v* is connected to all the vertices of *G*, all these vertices lie on some face in  $\tilde{H}$ . Now let  $\tilde{G}$  denote

the drawing obtained from  $\tilde{H}$  by removing v along with all of its incident edges. Then  $\tilde{G}$  is a planar drawing of G. By using an appropriate mapping of  $\tilde{G}$  to the sphere and then back again to the plane (*e.g.* using stereographic projections), we get another planar drawing of G in which all vertices lie on the outer face. Hence, G is outerplanar.

(b) Let *G* be an outerplanar graph. If we add a vertex *v* that is connected to every vertex in *G*, then G + v is a planar graph (by (a)). We need to show that *G* does not contain  $K_4$  nor  $K_{2,3}$  as topological minors. We will prove this by contradiction. Suppose *G* contains  $K_4$  as a topological minor. Then *G* has a subgraph *X* which is a subdivision of  $K_4$ , and X + v contains a subdivision of  $K_5$ . Hence, by Kuratowski's theorem, G + v is not planar; a contradiction. A similar contradiction can be obtained also for  $K_{2,3}$ . Thus, *G* does not contain  $K_4$  nor  $K_{2,3}$  as topological minors.

Conversely, let *G* be a graph that does not contain  $K_4$  nor  $K_{2,3}$  as topological minors. Then the graph *H* obtained by adding a vertex to *G* which is adjacent to all V(G) does not contain  $K_5$  as a topological minor, nor  $K_{3,3}$  as a topological minor. Thus, *H* is planar by Kuratowski's theorem, and so *G* is outerplanar by (a).