

Universität des Saarlandes FR 6.2 Informatik



## Prof. Dr. Benjamin Doerr, Dr. Danny Hermelin, Dr. Reto Spöhel

Summer 2011

## **Solution for Exercise 11**

**Exercise 2** (2 points)

Let *G* be a perfect graph, and let *H* be an arbitrary induced subgraph of *G*. Since *H* is an induced subgraph of a perfect graph, we have  $\omega(H) = \chi(H)$ . Consider an arbitrary coloring of *H* with  $\chi(H)$  colors. The maximum number of vertices that can be colored with the same color is  $\alpha(H)$ . Hence, the maximum number of vertices that can be colored with  $\chi(H)$  colors is  $\alpha(H) \cdot \chi(H)$ . Thus  $|V(H)| \le \alpha(H) \cdot \chi(H) = \alpha(H) \cdot \omega(H)$ .

## **Exercise 3** (4 points)

- (*a*) Let *G* be a chordal graph. If *G* is complete then the statement is trivial, so assume *G* is not complete, and in particular, that |V(G)| > 1. Let  $a, b \in V(G)$  be two non-adjacent vertices in *G*, and let  $X \subseteq V(G) \setminus \{a, b\}$  be a minimal *a*-*b* separator. Also, let  $s, t \in X$ . By minimality of *X*, there are *s*-*t*-paths both in *G*<sub>1</sub> and in *G*<sub>2</sub>. Let *P*<sub>1</sub> be a shortest *s*-*t*-path in *G*<sub>1</sub>, and let *P*<sub>2</sub> be a shortest *s*-*t*-path in *G*<sub>2</sub>. Then *sP*<sub>1</sub>*tP*<sub>2</sub>*s* is a cycle of length at least 4 in *G*, and as *G* is chordal, there must be some edge in *G* connecting two non-incident vertices on this cycle. This edge cannot be between an internal vertex of *P*<sub>1</sub> and an internal vertex of *P*<sub>2</sub>, because *X* is a separator, and it cannot be between two internal vertices of *P*<sub>1</sub>, nor between two internal vertices of *P*<sub>2</sub>, due to the minimality of *P*<sub>1</sub> and *P*<sub>2</sub>. Thus, *s* and *t* must be adjacent, and so *X* induces a complete subgraph in *G*.
- (*b*) Let *G* be a chordal graph. We prove the statement by induction in |V(G)|. If |V(G)| = 1, the statement is trivial, so assume |V(G)| > 1, and that the statement is true for all graphs with fewer vertices than *G*. Suppose *G* is not complete. Let  $a, b \in V(G)$  be two non-adjacent vertices in *G*, and let  $X \subseteq V(G) \setminus \{a, b\}$  be a minimal *a*-*b* separator. Then *X* induces a complete subgraph in *G* according to (*a*). Let *A* denote the connected component of G X containing *a*, and let *B* be the connected component of G X containing *b*. By induction,  $A \cup G[X]$  is either complete or it has two non-adjacent simplicial vertices. In both cases, this implies that there is a vertex  $s_A$  in *A* which is simplicial  $A \cup G[X]$ , since there are no two nonadjacent vertices in *X*. Furthermore, as  $s_A$  has no neighbors in *G* outside of  $A \cup G[X]$ ,  $s_A$  is simplicial also in *G*. Thus, by a similar argument we can obtain a vertex  $s_B \in V(B)$  which together with  $s_A$  forms a pair of non-adjacent simplicial vertices of *G*.

- (c) Let *G* be a chordal graph. The proof is by induction on V(G). From (*b*), it follows that *G* has a simplicial vertex *x*. By induction, since G v is chordal and has fewer vertices than *G*, it has a simplicial ordering  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ . Then  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n, x)$  is a simplicial ordering for *G*.
- (*d*) To prove the claim we show that any graph *G* admitting a simplicial ordering has  $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G)$ . This suffices, since every chordal graph admits a simplicial ordering according to (*c*), and since a graph admits a simplicial ordering iff all of its induced subgraphs admit a simplicial ordering. Assume then that *G* admits a simplicial ordering  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ , and let  $N_i$  denote the set of neighbors of  $v_i$  in  $G[\{v_1, \ldots, v_i\}]$ , for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . As any maximal complete subgraph of *G* is induced by  $v_i \cup N_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , we get that  $|N_i| < w(G)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Thus  $col(G) \leq w(G)$ , and as  $\chi(G) \leq col(G)$  (Proposition 5.2.2 in the Diestel), we have  $\chi(G) \leq w(G)$ .

## **Exercise 4** (2 points)

Let *S* be a maximal set of disjoint *A*-*B*-paths, and let  $X := \bigcup_{P \in S} V(P)$ . By maximality of *S*, the vertex set *X* is an *A*-*B*-separator in *G*, and so *X* is infinite by the assumption in the exercise. But then, as V(P) is finite for every  $P \in S$ , it must be the case that *S* is infinite.