

Optimal First Homology Basis on Surfaces

Presented by Michael Kerber
(Scribe: Tiezhi Wang)

1. Problem statement

Goal: given a connected, compact, orientable, combinatorial 2-manifold M without boundary, with combinatorial complexity n and genus g , compute the minimal basis of first homology group $H_1(M)$.

Idea: identify a set S of candidate cycles that contains a minimal homology basis, then use the Metro theorem to construct the minimal homology basis.

Time complexity: $O(n^2 \log n + n^2 + ng^3)$.

2. Background and notations

Cycle is sum of closed paths on surface. Cycle is Z_2 – vector space. *Boundary* is 2-dimensional patch. Boundary is cycle. Cycles C_1 and C_2 are *homologous* if $C_1 = C_2 +$ boundary. Homology group $H_1(M) := \{[c] | c \text{ is cycle}\} \cong Z_2^{2g}$, here

$[c] := \{c + b | b \text{ is boundary}\}$. c_1, \dots, c_{2g} is *homology basis* if $\langle [c_1], \dots, [c_{2g}] \rangle = H_1(M)$.

c_1, \dots, c_{2g} is *minimal homology basis* if $\sum_{i=1}^{2g} \text{length}(c_i)$ is minimal among all homology basis. A cycle is *tight* if for any vertices v, w on c , c contains a shortest path from v to w .

Lemma 1: Every cycle in a minimal homology basis is tight.

C_x is cut locus with base point x , e is an edge of C_x , $\pi(e, x)$ is a cycle combining the path on shortest paths tree from x to an vertex adjacent to e , the edge crossing e and the path on shortest paths tree from another vertex adjacent to e back to x .

Lemma 2: Every tight cycle is a $\pi(e, x)$ for some base point x on the cycle.

Z_x is set of canonical cycles with the form $\pi(\cdot, x)$. $\bigcup_{x \in V} Z_x$ contains a minimal homology basis. G_x is the minimal set of loops for base point x .

Lemma 3: $\bigcup_{x \in V} G_x$ contains a minimal homology basis.

Proof: Let G be the greedy set for $\bigcup_{x \in V} Z_x$. G is a minimal homology basis, $G = \{b_1, \dots, b_{2g}\}$, $b_i \in Z_{x_0}$ for some x_0 . Because the property of the algorithm, b_i is not generated by all shorter cycles in $\bigcup_{x \in V} Z_{x_0}$, b_i is a part of G_{x_0} .

3. Algorithm

- (1) For any $x \in V$, compute G_x .
- (2) Sort $\bigcup_{x \in V} G_x$ with regard to length, get a sequence G_1, \dots, G_m , where $m \in O(gn)$.
- (3) For $i=1, \dots, m$
 - if $[C_i]$ is not generated by $\langle [C_{1i}], \dots, [C_{i-1}] \rangle$
 - add C_i to basis.

4. Runtime analysis

4.1 Runtime of step (1) .

The time consumption for computing G_x is $O(n \log n + gn)$, so the runtime of step (1) is $O(n^2 \log n + gn^2)$.

4.2 Runtime of step (2)

Since $m \in O(gn)$ and g is at most n , the runtime of step (2) is $O(n^2)$.

4.3 Runtime of step (3)

Every cycle on the surface can be expressed as a linear combination of b_1, \dots, b_{2g} . In other words, every cycle can be expressed as a zero-one vector of length $2g$ showing whether b_i is

in the linear combination or not, e.g. $h(C) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. That can be realized as following.

(a) Fix an point $x_0 \in V$. For the cut locus C_{x_0} , compute the shortest system of loops b_1, \dots, b_{2g} , which is a homology basis.

(b) Express $\pi(e, x)$ as linear combination of b_1, \dots, b_{2g} by the following way. Label leaves attached to b_1, \dots, b_{2g} with corresponding numbers. Split spanning tree into two parts

corresponding to e , move e to an either component. Collect the label of encountered leaf b_i .

If the edge e needs to go over a vertex, take the sum of labels on all braches.

With the expression of all $\pi(e, x)$, any cycle $C=e_1 \cdots e_k$ on surface can be expressed as $h(C)=\sum_1^k h(\pi(e, x_0))$. Then Every homology class can be expressed as a matrix with $2g$ rows and ng columns, where the basis can be found.

It can be decided that whether $[C_i]$ is generated by $\langle [C_{1i}], \dots, [C_{i-1}] \rangle$ in $O(g^2)$ time per candidate, and there are ng candidates, so the runtime of step (3) is $O(ng^3)$.

4.4 Overall runtime

The overall runtime is $O(n^2 \log n + n^2 + ng^3)$.

5 Remark

The restriction to coefficient fields is necessary. For coefficient rings without division, homology groups are not vector spaces, and thus a maximal linearly independent set of homology classes is not necessarily a basis. [1]

Reference

[1] Jeff Erickson: Combinatorial Optimization of Cycles and Bases. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification, 2011, Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics