Analysis of the Evolution of Peer-to-Peer Systems

Proseminar "Peer – to – Peer Information Systems" WS 04/05 Prof. Gerhard Weikum

Speaker : Emil Zankov Tutor : Sebastian Michel

Talking Points

- Motivation
- Related Work
- Problems
- Analysis
- Summary

What is an Ideal P2P Network?

- Running continuously forever
- Efficient lookups
- Allow node to join and leave
- Properly rearranging the ideal overlay

Motivation

- A P2P network works well when the nodes join sequential, but what is if this happen concurrently?
- The overlay is no more ideal if fault occur
- What happens if faults accumulate
- A real P2P system is almost never in ideal state

Goals

By existence of concurrent join und unexpected departure to guarantee:

- Efficient lookups
- Connected Network
- Small number of idealization rounds

Related Work

- Node join and leave only at well-behaved network (Plaxton et al. 1997)
- Fault tolerance only if |joining nodes| > |departing nodes|(Saia at al. 2002)
- Maintenance using an central server (Pandurangan et al. 2001)

The Chord P2P System



Half-Life Definition

Given a *N* node system at time t

- Doubling time(DT) a time from t required for N additional nodes to join
- Halving time(HT) a time from t required for N/2 live nodes to depart
- Half-Life is Min(DT,HT)

Half-Life is a coarse factor of the rate of change of a system

Loopy Problem

Loopy states

- Weakly ideal
- Strong ideal

Reasons

- Impl. Bugs
- Breakdown of join/depart Model
- Low probability events



Appendages Problem

- Recently joined nodes
- Non empty tree rooted at any node



Failure Problem

Split the NetworkInconsistent lookup

The Ideal Chord State

- Connectivity
 - Exist path between any two nodes
- Randomness
 - Independently and uniformly distributed nodes
- Cycle sufficiency
 - Every node is on the cycle
- Non-loopiness
 - $\forall u \in Cyc \Rightarrow \neg \exists v : v \in (u, u.successor)$

The Ideal Chord State

- Successor list validity
 - Every u.successor_list contains the first c*logN nodes that follows u, c=O(1)
- Finger validity
 - The first node following

 $u + 2^{i-1}$ is stored as *u.finger[i]*

A Failure Model Definition

Successor list validity

- Every $|L_u| \ge (c/3) \cdot \log N$
- Every L_u contains exacly the first $|L_u|$ live nodes that follow u

Finger validity

• If *u.finger[i]* is alive then it's the first live node following $u + 2^{i-1}$

Lemma

For an *N* node network in with failure, occur N/2 oblivious failures during $\Omega(\log N)$ idealization rounds, then:

- => Throughout this process, find_successor(q) returns the first living successor of q in O(logN) time
- => Resulting network is in cycle with failures state

A Pure Join Model

O(log *N*) incorporating rounds O(log² *N*) time fully incorporating

Beitwompoweittingproemdages

A Join Model Definition

- $\bullet |A_u| = O(\log N)$
- The finger are correct with respect to a constant fraction of the nodes on the system

Lemma

For a *N* node network with appendages, suppose that during $\Omega(\log^2 N)$ rounds of idealization *N* nodes join the network, then:

- => Throughout this process, *find_successor(q)* returns in $O(\log N)$ time the successor $s \in Cyc$ or $a \in A_s$
- => the resulting network is in cycle with appendages state

Proof

- O(log N) time to find any old node
- O(log N) round to incorporate the new nodes from the appendages

A Dynamic Model Definition

Union from the Join Model and the Failure Model

- Cycle sufficiency
 - For any consecutive cycle nodes u1.....ulog/V

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\log N} |A_{u_i}| = O(\log N)$$

Theorem

A network of *N* nodes in cycle with appendages and failures state, allow up to *N* oblivious joins and *N*/2 failures at time over $D^*\log^2 N$ (D=O(1))idealization rounds, then:

- => find_successor(q) returns the first living
 successor of q in O(logN) time
- => The resulting network is in cycle with appendages and failures state

Proof

Incorporation of node from each appendage in each round is not sure, since cycle node fails

Proof

 Cycle nodes fails, causing their appendages merge together

Summary

- The Chord system works good in existence of dynamic departures and joins
 - Resolve queries efficient O(log N) time
 - The maintenance work can be reduced by logarithmic factors

Thanks?

Questions?

Summary

- The Chord system works good in existence of dynamic departures and joins
 - Resolve queries efficient O(log N) time
 - The maintenance work can be reduced by logarithmic factors
- How can we find the Half-Life?

Proof

Connected Network since

- Iog N live entries in successor_list
- nodes fail with constant probability
- *u.find_successor(k)* is efficient
 - the next node's i-th finger is up with 1/2 probability
 - each forwarding halves the distance
- Clean the old failures in successor_list

 An N Node weak ideal network without loopy states have N successor pointer

Within $O(N^2)$ rounds of strong idealization, an arbitrary connected Chord network becomes strongly ideal

