

Proving Termination: Monotone Mappings

Let $(A, >_A)$ and $(B, >_B)$ be partial orderings. A mapping $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is called *monotone*, if $a >_A a'$ implies $\varphi(a) >_B \varphi(a')$ for all $a, a' \in A$.

Lemma 4.10 *If $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a monotone mapping from $(A, >_A)$ to $(B, >_B)$ and $(B, >_B)$ is well-founded, then $(A, >_A)$ is well-founded.*

4.3 Rewrite Systems

Let E be a set of equations.

The *rewrite relation* $\rightarrow_E \subseteq T_\Sigma(X) \times T_\Sigma(X)$ is defined by

$$s \rightarrow_E t \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist } (l \approx r) \in E, p \in \text{pos}(s), \\ \text{and } \sigma : X \rightarrow T_\Sigma(X), \\ \text{such that } s/p = l\sigma \text{ and } t = s[r\sigma]_p. \end{array}$$

An instance of the lhs (left-hand side) of an equation is called a *redex* (reducible expression). *Contracting* a redex means replacing it with the corresponding instance of the rhs (right-hand side) of the rule.

An equation $l \approx r$ is also called a *rewrite rule*, if l is not a variable and $\text{var}(l) \supseteq \text{var}(r)$.

Notation: $l \rightarrow r$.

A set of rewrite rules is called a *term rewrite system (TRS)*.

We say that a set of equations E or a TRS R is *terminating*, if the rewrite relation \rightarrow_E or \rightarrow_R has this property.

(Analogously for other properties of abstract reduction systems).

Note: If E is terminating, then it is a TRS.

E-Algebras

Let E be a set of equations. A Σ -algebra \mathcal{A} is called an *E-algebra*, if $\mathcal{A} \models \forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$ for all $\forall \vec{x}(s \approx t) \in E$.

If $E \models \forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$ (i.e., $\forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$ is valid in all E -algebras), we write this also as $s \approx_E t$.

Goal:

Use the rewrite relation \rightarrow_E to express the semantic consequence relation syntactically:

$$s \approx_E t \quad \text{if and only if} \quad s \leftrightarrow_E^* t.$$

Let E be a set of equations over $T_\Sigma(X)$. The following inference system allows to derive consequences of E :

$$\begin{array}{l}
E \vdash t \approx t \quad \text{(Reflexivity)} \\
\frac{E \vdash t \approx t'}{E \vdash t' \approx t} \quad \text{(Symmetry)} \\
\frac{E \vdash t \approx t' \quad E \vdash t' \approx t''}{E \vdash t \approx t''} \quad \text{(Transitivity)} \\
\frac{E \vdash t_1 \approx t'_1 \quad \dots \quad E \vdash t_n \approx t'_n}{E \vdash f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \approx f(t'_1, \dots, t'_n)} \quad \text{(Congruence)} \\
E \vdash t\sigma \approx t'\sigma \quad \text{(Instance)} \\
\text{if } (t \approx t') \in E \text{ and } \sigma : X \rightarrow T_\Sigma(X)
\end{array}$$

Lemma 4.11 *The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) $s \leftrightarrow_E^* t$
- (ii) $E \vdash s \approx t$ is derivable.

(Proof Scetch Follows)

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): $s \leftrightarrow_E t$ implies $E \vdash s \approx t$ by induction on the depth of the position where the rewrite rule is applied; then $s \leftrightarrow_E^* t$ implies $E \vdash s \approx t$ by induction on the number of rewrite steps in $s \leftrightarrow_E^* t$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): By induction on the size (number of symbols) of the derivation for $E \vdash s \approx t$. □

Constructing a *quotient algebra*:

Let X be a set of variables.

For $t \in T_\Sigma(X)$ let $[t] = \{t' \in T_\Sigma(X) \mid E \vdash t \approx t'\}$ be the *congruence class* of t .

Define a Σ -algebra $T_\Sigma(X)/E$ (abbreviated by \mathcal{T}) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathcal{T}} &= \{[t] \mid t \in T_\Sigma(X)\}. \\
f_{\mathcal{T}}([t_1], \dots, [t_n]) &= [f(t_1, \dots, t_n)] \text{ for } f \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.12 *$f_{\mathcal{T}}$ is well-defined: If $[t_i] = [t'_i]$, then $[f(t_1, \dots, t_n)] = [f(t'_1, \dots, t'_n)]$.*

Proof. Follows directly from the *Congruence* rule for \vdash . □

Lemma 4.13 $\mathcal{T} = \mathsf{T}_\Sigma(X)/E$ is an E -algebra. (Proof Follows)

Proof. Let $\forall x_1 \dots x_n (s \approx t)$ be an equation in E ; let β be an arbitrary assignment.

We have to show that $\mathcal{T}(\beta)(\forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)) = 1$, or equivalently, that $\mathcal{T}(\gamma)(s) = \mathcal{T}(\gamma)(t)$ for all $\gamma = \beta[x_i \mapsto [t_i] \mid 1 \leq i \leq n]$ with $[t_i] \in U_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Let $\sigma = [t_1/x_1, \dots, t_n/x_n]$, then $s\sigma \in \mathcal{T}(\gamma)(s)$ and $t\sigma \in \mathcal{T}(\gamma)(t)$.

By the *Instance* rule, $E \vdash s\sigma \approx t\sigma$ is derivable, hence $\mathcal{T}(\gamma)(s) = [s\sigma] = [t\sigma] = \mathcal{T}(\gamma)(t)$. \square

Lemma 4.14 Let X be a countably infinite set of variables; let $s, t \in \mathsf{T}_\Sigma(X)$. If $\mathsf{T}_\Sigma(X)/E \models \forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$, then $E \vdash s \approx t$ is derivable. (Proof Follows)

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{T} \models \forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$, i.e., $\mathcal{T}(\beta)(\forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)) = 1$. Consequently, $\mathcal{T}(\gamma)(s) = \mathcal{T}(\gamma)(t)$ for all $\gamma = \beta[x_i \mapsto [t_i] \mid 1 \leq i \leq n]$ with $[t_i] \in U_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Choose $t_i = x_i$, then $[s] = \mathcal{T}(\gamma)(s) = \mathcal{T}(\gamma)(t) = [t]$, so $E \vdash s \approx t$ is derivable by definition of \mathcal{T} . \square

Theorem 4.15 (“Birkhoff’s Theorem”) Let X be a countably infinite set of variables, let E be a set of (universally quantified) equations. Then the following properties are equivalent for all $s, t \in \mathsf{T}_\Sigma(X)$:

- (i) $s \leftrightarrow_E^* t$.
- (ii) $E \vdash s \approx t$ is derivable.
- (iii) $s \approx_E t$, i.e., $E \models \forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$.
- (iv) $\mathsf{T}_\Sigma(X)/E \models \forall \vec{x}(s \approx t)$.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Lemma 4.11.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): By induction on the size of the derivation for $E \vdash s \approx t$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Obvious, since $\mathcal{T} = \mathsf{T}_\Sigma(X)/E$ is an E -algebra.

(iv) \Rightarrow (ii): Lemma 4.14. \square

Universal Algebra

$T_\Sigma(X)/E = T_\Sigma(X)/\approx_E = T_\Sigma(X)/\leftrightarrow_E^*$ is called the *free E -algebra* with generating set $X/\approx_E = \{ [x] \mid x \in X \}$:

Every mapping $\varphi : X/\approx_E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ for some E -algebra \mathcal{B} can be extended to a homomorphism $\hat{\varphi} : T_\Sigma(X)/E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

$T_\Sigma(\emptyset)/E = T_\Sigma(\emptyset)/\approx_E = T_\Sigma(\emptyset)/\leftrightarrow_E^*$ is called the *initial E -algebra*.

$\approx_E = \{ (s, t) \mid E \models s \approx t \}$ is called the *equational theory* of E .

$\approx_E^I = \{ (s, t) \mid T_\Sigma(\emptyset)/E \models s \approx t \}$ is called the *inductive theory* of E .

Example:

Let $E = \{ \forall x(x + 0 \approx x), \forall x \forall y(x + s(y) \approx s(x + y)) \}$. Then $x + y \approx_E^I y + x$, but $x + y \not\approx_E y + x$.

Rewrite Relations

Corollary 4.16 *If E is convergent (i. e., terminating and confluent), then $s \approx_E t$ if and only if $s \leftrightarrow_E^* t$ if and only if $s \downarrow_E = t \downarrow_E$.*

Corollary 4.17 *If E is finite and convergent, then \approx_E is decidable.*

Reminder:

If E is terminating, then it is confluent if and only if it is locally confluent.

Problems:

Show local confluence of E .

Show termination of E .

Transform E into an equivalent set of equations that is locally confluent and terminating.