Let  $(A, \rightarrow)$  be a rewrite system.

*b* and  $c \in A$  are joinable, if there is an *a* such that  $b \rightarrow^* a^* \leftarrow c$ . Notation:  $b \downarrow c$ .

The relation  $\rightarrow$  is called

Church-Rosser, if  $b \leftrightarrow^* c$  implies  $b \downarrow c$ .

confluent, if  $b^* \leftarrow a \rightarrow^* c$  implies  $b \downarrow c$ .

locally confluent, if  $b \leftarrow a \rightarrow c$  implies  $b \downarrow c$ .

convergent, if it is confluent and terminating.

For a rewrite system  $(M, \rightarrow)$  consider a sequence of elements  $a_i$  that are pairwise connected by the symmetric closure, i.e.,  $a_1 \leftrightarrow a_2 \leftrightarrow a_3 \ldots \leftrightarrow a_n$ . We say that  $a_i$  is a peak in such a sequence, if actually  $a_{i-1} \leftarrow a_i \rightarrow a_{i+1}$ .

Theorem 1.11:

The following properties are equivalent:

- (i)  $\rightarrow$  has the Church-Rosser property.
- (ii)  $\rightarrow$  is confluent.

Lemma 1.12:

If  $\rightarrow$  is confluent, then every element has at most one normal form.

Corollary 1.13: If  $\rightarrow$  is normalizing and confluent, then every element *b* has a unique normal form.

Proposition 1.14: If  $\rightarrow$  is normalizing and confluent, then  $b \leftrightarrow^* c$  if and only if  $b \downarrow = c \downarrow$ . Theorem 1.15 ("Newman's Lemma"):

If a terminating relation  $\rightarrow$  is locally confluent, then it is confluent.

Propositional logic

- logic of truth values
- decidable (but **NP**-complete)
- can be used to describe functions over a finite domain
- industry standard for many analysis/verification tasks
- growing importance for discrete optimization problems (Automated Reasoning II)

# 2.1 Syntax

- propositional variables
- logical connectives
  - $\Rightarrow$  Boolean connectives and constants

Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of propositional variables also called the signature of the (propositional) logic.

We use letters P, Q, R, S, to denote propositional variables.

 $PROP(\Sigma)$  is the set of propositional formulas over  $\Sigma$  inductively defined as follows:

$\phi$ , $\psi$	::=	$\perp$	(falsum)
		Т	(verum)
		$P$ , $P\in\Sigma$	(atomic formula)
		$ eg \phi$	(negation)
		$(\phi \wedge \psi)$	(conjunction)
		$(\phi \lor \psi)$	(disjunction)
		$(\phi  ightarrow \psi)$	(implication)
		$(\phi \leftrightarrow \psi)$	(equivalence)

As a notational convention we assume that  $\neg$  binds strongest, so  $\neg P \lor Q$  is actually a shorthand for  $(\neg P) \lor Q$ . For all other logical connectives we will explicitly put parenthesis when needed. From the semantics we will see that  $\land$  and  $\lor$  are associative and commutative. Therefore instead of  $((P \land Q) \land R)$  we simply write  $P \land Q \land R$ .

Automated reasoning is very much formula manipulation. In order to precisely represent the manipulation of a formula, we introduce positions.

## **Formula Manipulation**

A position is a word over  $\mathbb N.$  The set of positions of a formula  $\phi$  is inductively defined by

$$pos(\phi) := \{\epsilon\} \text{ if } \phi \in \{\top, \bot\} \text{ or } \phi \in \Sigma$$
$$pos(\neg \phi) := \{\epsilon\} \cup \{1p \mid p \in pos(\phi)\}$$
$$pos(\phi \circ \psi) := \{\epsilon\} \cup \{1p \mid p \in pos(\phi)\} \cup \{2p \mid p \in pos(\psi)\}$$
$$where \circ \in \{\land, \lor, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}.$$

The prefix order  $\leq$  on positions is defined by  $p \leq q$  if there is some p' such that pp' = q.

Note that the prefix order is partial, e.g., the positions 12 and 21 are not comparable, they are "parallel", see below.

By < we denote the strict part of  $\leq$ , i.e., p < q if  $p \leq q$  but not  $q \leq p$ . By  $\parallel$  we denote incomparable positions, i.e.,  $p \parallel q$ if neither  $p \leq q$ , nor  $q \leq p$ . Then we say that p is above q if  $p \leq q$ , p is strictly above q if p < q, and p and q are parallel if  $p \parallel q$ . The size of a formula  $\phi$  is given by the cardinality of  $pos(\phi)$ :  $|\phi| := |pos(\phi)|.$ 

The subformula of  $\phi$  at position  $p \in \text{pos}(\phi)$  is recursively defined by  $\phi|_{\epsilon} := \phi$  and  $(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2)|_{ip} := \phi_i|_p$  where  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $\circ \in \{\land, \lor, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$ . Finally, the replacement of a subformula at position  $p \in pos(\phi)$  by a formula  $\psi$  is recursively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi[\psi]_{\epsilon} &:= & \psi \\ (\neg \phi)[\psi]_{1p} &:= & \neg(\phi[\psi]_{p}) \\ (\phi_{1} \circ \phi_{2})[\psi]_{1p} &:= & (\phi_{1}[\psi]_{p} \circ \phi_{2}) \\ (\phi_{1} \circ \phi_{2})[\psi]_{2p} &:= & (\phi_{1} \circ \phi_{2}[\psi]_{p}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\circ \in \{\land, \lor, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$ .

### **Formula Manipulation**

Example 2.1:

The set of positions for the formula  $\phi = (A \land B) \rightarrow (A \lor B)$  is pos( $\phi$ ) = { $\epsilon$ , 1, 11, 12, 2, 21, 22}. The subformula at position 22 is B,  $\phi|_{22} = B$  and replacing this formula by  $A \leftrightarrow B$  results in  $\phi[A \leftrightarrow B]_{22} = (A \land B) \rightarrow (A \lor (A \leftrightarrow B)).$  A further prerequisite for efficient formula manipulation is the polarity of a subformula  $\psi$  of  $\phi$ . The polarity determines the number of "negations" starting from  $\phi$  down to  $\psi$ . It is 1 for an even number along the path, -1 for an odd number and 0 if there is at least one equivalence connective along the path.

The polarity of a subformula  $\psi$  of  $\phi$  at position p,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  is recursively defined by

$$\operatorname{pol}(\phi, \epsilon) := 1$$
  
 $\operatorname{pol}(\neg \phi, 1p) := -\operatorname{pol}(\phi, p)$   
 $\operatorname{pol}(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2, ip) := \operatorname{pol}(\phi_i, p) \text{ if } \circ \in \{\land, \lor\}$   
 $\operatorname{pol}(\phi_1 \to \phi_2, 1p) := -\operatorname{pol}(\phi_2, p)$   
 $\operatorname{pol}(\phi_1 \to \phi_2, 2p) := \operatorname{pol}(\phi_2, p)$   
 $\operatorname{pol}(\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_2, ip) := 0$ 

### **Formula Manipulation**

Example 2.2: We reuse the formula  $\phi = (A \land B) \rightarrow (A \lor B)$  Then  $pol(\phi, 1) = pol(\phi, 11) = -1$  and  $pol(\phi, 2) = pol(\phi, 22) = 1$ . For the formula  $\phi' = (A \land B) \leftrightarrow (A \lor B)$  we get  $pol(\phi', \epsilon) = 1$  and  $pol(\phi', p) = 0$  for all other  $p \in pos(\phi')$ ,  $p \neq \epsilon$ .