## Rule-Based Naive Standard Unification

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
t \doteq t, E & \Rightarrow s u & E \\
f\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \doteq f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), E & \Rightarrow s U & s_{1} \doteq t_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \doteq t_{n}, E \\
f(\ldots) \doteq g(\ldots), E & \Rightarrow s U & \perp \\
x \doteq t, E & \Rightarrow S U & x \doteq t, E\{x \mapsto t\} \\
& & \text { if } x \in \operatorname{var}(E), x \notin \operatorname{var}(t) \\
x \doteq t, E & \Rightarrow s U & \perp \\
& & \text { if } x \neq t, x \in \operatorname{var}(t) \\
t \doteq x, E & \Rightarrow s u & x \doteq t, E \\
& \text { if } t \notin X
\end{array}
$$

## SU: Main Properties

If $E=x_{1} \doteq u_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \doteq u_{k}$, with $x_{i}$ pairwise distinct, $x_{i} \notin \operatorname{var}\left(u_{j}\right)$, then $E$ is called an (equational problem in) solved form representing the solution $\sigma_{E}=\left\{x_{1} \mapsto u_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \mapsto u_{k}\right\}$.

Proposition 3.22:
If $E$ is a solved form then $\sigma_{E}$ is an mgu of $E$.

## SU: Main Properties

Theorem 3.23:

1. If $E \Rightarrow S U E^{\prime}$ then $\sigma$ is a unifier of $E$ iff $\sigma$ is a unifier of $E^{\prime}$
2. If $E \Rightarrow{ }_{S U}^{*} \perp$ then $E$ is not unifiable.
3. If $E \Rightarrow{ }_{S U}^{*} E^{\prime}$ with $E^{\prime}$ in solved form, then $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}$ is an mgu of $E$.

Proof:
(1) We have to show this for each of the rules. Let's treat the case for the 4 th rule here. Suppose $\sigma$ is a unifier of $x \doteq t$, that is, $x \sigma=t \sigma$. Thus, $\sigma \circ\{x \mapsto t\}=\sigma[x \mapsto t \sigma]=\sigma[x \mapsto x \sigma]=\sigma$. Therefore, for any equation $u \doteq v$ in $E: u \sigma=v \sigma$, iff $u\{x \mapsto t\} \sigma=v\{x \mapsto t\} \sigma$. (2) and (3) follow by induction from (1) using Proposition 3.22.

## Main Unification Theorem

Theorem 3.24:
$E$ is unifiable if and only if there is a most general unifier $\sigma$ of $E$, such that $\sigma$ is idempotent and $\operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cup \operatorname{codom}(\sigma) \subseteq \operatorname{var}(E)$.

## Rule-Based Polynomial Unification

Problem: using $\Rightarrow_{S U}$, an exponential growth of terms is possible.

The following unification algorithm avoids this problem, at least if the final solved form is represented as a DAG.

## Rule-Based Polynomial Unification

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
t \doteq t, E & \Rightarrow_{P U} & E \\
f\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \doteq f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right), E & \Rightarrow_{P U} & s_{1} \doteq t_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \doteq t_{n}, E \\
f(\ldots) \doteq g(\ldots), E & \Rightarrow_{P U} & \perp \\
x \doteq y, E & \Rightarrow_{P U} & x \doteq y, E\{x \mapsto y\} \\
& & \text { if } x \in \operatorname{var}(E), x \neq y \\
x_{1} \doteq t_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \doteq t_{n}, E & \Rightarrow_{P U} & \perp \\
& & \text { if there are positions } p_{i} \text { with } \\
& t_{i} / p_{i}=x_{i+1}, t_{n} / p_{n}=x_{1} \\
& \text { and some } p_{i} \neq \epsilon
\end{array}
$$

## Rule-Based Polynomial Unification

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
x \doteq t, E \quad & \Rightarrow_{P U} & \perp \\
& & \text { if } x \neq t, x \in \operatorname{var}(t) \\
t \doteq x, E & \Rightarrow_{P U} & x \doteq t, E \\
& & \text { if } t \notin X \\
x \doteq t, x \doteq s, E & \Rightarrow_{P U} & x \doteq t, t \doteq s, E \\
& & \text { if } t, s \notin X \text { and }|t| \leq|s|
\end{array}
$$

## Properties of PU

Theorem 3.25:

1. If $E \Rightarrow_{P U} E^{\prime}$ then $\sigma$ is a unifier of $E$ iff $\sigma$ is a unifier of $E^{\prime}$
2. If $E \Rightarrow{ }^{*} P U \perp$ then $E$ is not unifiable.
3. If $E \Rightarrow_{P U}^{*} E^{\prime}$ with $E^{\prime}$ in solved form, then $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}$ is an mgu of $E$.

Note: The solved form of $\Rightarrow_{P U}$ is different form the solved form obtained from $\Rightarrow_{S U}$. In order to obtain the unifier $\sigma_{E^{\prime}}$, we have to sort the list of equality problems $x_{i} \doteq t_{i}$ in such a way that $x_{i}$ does not occur in $t_{j}$ for $j<i$, and then we have to compose the substitutions $\left\{x_{1} \mapsto t_{1}\right\} \circ \cdots \circ\left\{x_{k} \mapsto t_{k}\right\}$.

## Lifting Lemma

Lemma 3.26:
Let $C$ and $D$ be variable-disjoint clauses. If

then there exists a substitution $\tau$ such that


## Lifting Lemma

An analogous lifting lemma holds for factorization.

