max planck institut informatik

Universität des Saarlandes FR Informatik



Uwe Waldmann

July 6, 2020

Tutorials for "Automated Reasoning II" Exercise sheet 6

Exercise 6.1:

A group is a set G with a binary function $\cdot: G \times G \to G$, a unary function $_^{-1}: G \to G$, and an element $e \in G$ that satisfy the axioms

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$$
$$a \cdot e = a$$
$$a \cdot a^{-1} = e$$

for all $a, b, c \in G$. (It is sufficient to assert that e is a right identity and that $_^{-1}$ is a right inverse. One can prove from these axioms that e is also a left identity and that $_^{-1}$ is also a left inverse.)

For a group element $a \in G$ and a positive integer n, we define a^n recursively by $a^1 = a$ and $a^{n+1} = a \cdot (a^n)$. We say that $a \in G$ has order n if n is the smallest positive integer such that $a^n = e$. We say that $a \in G$ has order ∞ if there is no positive integer n such that $a^n = e$. (Note that every group has exactly one element with order 1, namely e itself.)

We say that some $a \in G$ commutes with some $b \in G$ if $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$. The center of a group G is the set of all elements $a \in G$ that commute with every $b \in G$.

Formalize the following problem in unsorted first-order logic with equality and use the theorem prover SPASS to prove it: If a group G has exactly one element with order 2, then this element is in the center of G.

Notes:

- You can download SPASS 3.9 from http://www.spass-prover.org/ or use the web interface.
- A sample SPASS input file containing the definition of a group and the conjecture that the right identity element in a group is also a left identity is available from the tutorial web page.
- Even though the presentation above refers to integer numbers, you should formalize the problem without referring to integer numbers.
- It is advisable to formalize the problem without defining auxiliary predicates like commutes(_) or center(_). (With auxiliary predicates, the problem becomes noticably harder for SPASS.)

Exercise 6.2:

Find an unsatisfiable clause set consisting of two unit clauses $s \approx t$ and $u \not\approx v$ and a term ordering \succ such that the only inference that neither violates the ordering restrictions of the superposition calculus nor yields a tautology is a positive superposition inference in which the left-hand side of $s \approx t$ is unified with the right-hand side of a renamed copy of $s \approx t$.

Exercise 6.3:

Let N be the set of constrained clauses

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(x)) &\approx x \llbracket \top \rrbracket & (1) \\ h(b) &\approx c \llbracket \top \rrbracket & (2) \end{aligned}$$

Are the following clauses redundant w.r.t. N, if we define redundancy as on page 66 of the lecture notes? f(I(x)) = f(x) = I = I = I = I

$$f(h(x)) \approx f(c) ||x = b|| \qquad (3)$$

$$h(f(g(b))) \approx c ||\top|| \qquad (4)$$

$$h(f(g(x))) \approx h(x) ||\top|| \qquad (5)$$

Submit your solution (or solution attempt) by e-mail to uwe@mpi-inf.mpg.de, subject Ex 6. until July 12.