

# A Novel Dual Ascent Algorithm for Solving the Min-Cost Flow Problem

Ruben Becker, Maximilan Fickert and Andreas Karrenbauer

Max Planck Institute for Informatics

January 11, 2016

### The Min-Cost Flow Problem

Given **directed** graph G = (V, A) with

- arc costs  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ , arc capacities  $u \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})^m$
- node **demands**  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $\mathbb{1}^T b = 0$



A flow 
$$x \in \mathbb{R}^m$$
 is **feasible**, if  
• for all  $v \in V$ :  
 $x(\delta^{in}(v)) - x(\delta^{out}(v)) = b_v$ ,  
•  $0 \le x \le u$ 

#### The Min-Cost Flow Problem

Output feasible flow  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $c^T x^* \leq c^T x$  for all feasible x or infeasible/unbounded.



The Dual Ascent Algorithm 0000

Experimental Evaluation 0000

## **LP-Formulation and Dual Problem**



**Node-Arc Incidence Matrix**  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ 

$$A_{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{a}} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{a} = (\boldsymbol{v}, \ldots) \\ 1 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{a} = (\ldots, \boldsymbol{v}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



etc.

The Dual Ascent Algorithm 0000

### **Previous Work**

#### **Classical Combinatorial**

- $O(m \log U \cdot SP_+(n, m, C))$ Edmonds and Karp, 1972
- $O(m^2 \log n \cdot \mathsf{MF}(n, m))$ Tardos, 1985
- O(nm log log U · log(nC)) Ahuja, Goldberg, Orlin and Tarjan, 1992

### **Interior Point Methods**

- $O(n^2 \sqrt{m} \log(n \max\{U, C\}))$ Vaidya, 1989
- O(nm<sup>2</sup>L) combinatorial
   Wallacher and Zimmermann, 1992
- expected  $\tilde{O}(m^{3/2} \log U)$ Daitch and Spielman, 2008
- expected  $\tilde{O}(m\sqrt{n}\log^2 U)$ , Lee and Sidford, 2014
- In theory the interior point methods dominate over the combinatorial approaches.
- In practice the combinatorial algorithms perform quite well.



### **Preliminaries and Invariants**

Let 
$$y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m_{>0}$ , define

- reduced costs:  $c_a^y := c_a + y_v y_w$
- residual demands:

$$b_{v}^{\mathsf{x}} = b_{v} - \sum_{a \in \delta_{v}^{\mathsf{in}}} \mathbf{x}_{a} + \sum_{a \in \delta_{v}^{\mathsf{out}}} \mathbf{x}_{a}$$

#### **Complementary Slackness Conditions**

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{y}} > 0 & \Longrightarrow & \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = 0 \\ \boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{y}} < 0 & \Longrightarrow & \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{a}} & \text{for all } \boldsymbol{a} \in \boldsymbol{A}. \\ 0 < \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{a}} < \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{a}} & \Longrightarrow & \boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{y}} = 0 \end{array}$$



### Invariants of the Algorithm

- fulfills the capacity constraints,
- (y, z) is **dual feasible** and
- complementary slackness holds.

The Dual Ascent Algorithm 0000

Experimental Evaluation 0000

### Shift Potentials Along a Cut



this preserves complementary slackness

• yields 
$$m{c}^{\mathcal{Y}'}_{a}=0$$
 for at least one  $a\in \delta^{ ext{out}}_{\mathcal{G}^{ ext{x}}}(\mathcal{S})$ 

• 
$$b^T y' - u^T z^{y'} \ge b^T y - u^T z^{y}$$

max planck institut informatik

• If  $b^{x}(S) \ge 0$ , a symmetric approach works.

## The Algorithm

### **Dual Step** – Improve Optimality of y

- Construct nested cuts  $\{s\} = S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \ldots \subset S_n = V$ .
- The picked arcs form a spanning tree T of G<sup>x</sup>

with  $c_a^{y'} = 0$  for all  $a \in T$ .

### **Primal Step** – Improve Feasibility of x

- Send maximum flow on T from the sources to the sinks.
- Reduces  $||b^{\times}||_1$  by at least 2.

#### Theorem

The algorithm terminates and returns a correct result in  $O(||b||_1(m + n \log n))$  time.



The Dual Ascent Algorithm

Experimental Evaluation 0000

### Why Would This Be a Good Idea?



- Classical algorithms like Successive Shortest Path send flow along one path in one iteration.
- Our Algorithm can send flow

max planck institut

along one spanning tree in one iteration.

• When forming the spanning tree, it takes sign  $b^{x}(S)$  into account.

## **Experimental Runtime Analysis**

Generate 2D Grid Graphs of fixed size

- draw  $\boldsymbol{c} \in [-\boldsymbol{C}, \boldsymbol{C}]^m$  and  $\boldsymbol{u} \in [0, \boldsymbol{U}]^m$  uniformly at random
- generate demands by saturating negative cost arcs
- there is a linear dependence between U and  $||b||_1$



seems to be no significant influence of C on the run-time
 dependence of the run-time proportional to ||b||<sub>1</sub><sup>1/2</sup>

# Variants of the Algorithm

### Primal Update

- rbn: max-flow on T from sources to sinks
- defupt: greedily send residual demand up the tree T

#### **Interrupting Dual Step**

- def0: interrupt dual step if residual demand of S is zero
- sc: interrupt dual step if sign of residual demand of S changes



Also evaluated: choice of starting node, different priority queues, etc.



### **Comparison with Other Algorithms**

	our	ssp	ns	cos
$netgen_sr$	$1.34 \pm 0.02$	$1.42\pm0.01$	$1.25\pm0.02$	$\textbf{1.17} \pm 0.02$
netgen_8	$1.37\pm0.02$	$1.80\pm0.02$	$1.69\pm0.02$	$\textbf{1.22}\pm0.01$
goto_8	$1.66\pm0.04$	$2.03\pm0.02$	$2.17\pm0.03$	$\textbf{1.53} \pm 0.02$
$road_paths$	<b>1.33</b> ± 0.03	$1.40\pm0.04$	$1.74\pm0.03$	$1.50\pm0.02$
road_flow	<b>1.42</b> ± 0.03	$1.43\pm0.04$	$1.76\pm0.04$	$1.46\pm0.03$

Table : Exponents of the run-time dependence on m.

- The algorithm is better than **ns** on **all but the dense graph class**.
- It beats ssp on all instance classes.
- It beats all implementations on the road instances.



## Thanks for listening!

