Massive-Scale Graph Analysis









Vinay Setty

Siaram Gurajada

Mohamed Yahya

Stephan Seufert

[vsetty, gurajada, myahya, sseufert]@mpi-inf.mpg.de

Agenda

- Introduction
- Topics
- Seminar rules and requirements
- Registration

Overview

- Fridays 10:00 11:30 in E 1.4 / R 021
- Today (24th April 2015) kickoff meeting
- 8th May 2015 to 10th July 2015 your seminars
- No holidays on seminar days!



Graphs Are Sexy!



Social Graphs







Knowledge Graphs



Co-author, Citation Graphs



Massive-Scale Graphs

- Web Graphs: trillions of nodes and edges
 - Clue web: 4.7 billion web pages and 8 billion links
- Social Graphs
 - Facebook: 1.25 billion monthly active users with hundreds of billions of relationships (as of March 31, 2015)
 - Twitter: 288 million monthly active users
- Knowledge Graphs
 - Google knowledge graph: 570 million nodes 18 billion facts
 - Freebase: 1.9 billion triples

Graph Algorithms

- Page Rank
- Shortest paths
- Connected components (strongly and weakly connected components)
- Traversal (BFS, DFS)
- Enumerating triangles (for computing clustering coefficient)
- Graph matching

Topic 1: Map/Reduce for Graphs

- 08/05/2015
- Cohen: Graph twiddling in a MapReduce world, Computing in Science & Engineering 2009
- Lin and Schatz: **Design patterns for efficient graph algorithms in MapReduce**, Workshop on Mining and Learning with Graphs 2010
- Additional Reference (to introduce Map/Reduce) Lin et al.: Data-intensive text processing with MapReduce, 2010
- Preferred background: Databases, Knowledge of Map/Reduce, fundamental graph algorithms
- What is expected:
 - Present the Map/Reduce paradigm
 - Clearly explain all the the graph algorithms and their implementations using Map/Reduce paradigm
 - Must cover both papers in detail
- Tutor: Sairam Gurajada



Topic 2: Graph Analysis Using Map/Reduce

- 15/05/2015
- Kang et al.: Pegasus: A peta-scale graph mining system implementation and observations, ICDM 2009
- Kang et al.: **PEGASUS: mining peta-scale graphs**, Knowledge and Information Systems 2011
- Preferred background: Databases, Knowledge of Map/Reduce, fundamental graph algorithms, matrix operations
- What is expected:
 - Build on and relate to previous topic
 - Focus on second paper (first paper is a subset of the second paper)
 - Clearly explain the matrix multiplication implementation and graph algorithms in Pegasus
 - Discuss evaluations
- Tutor: Sairam Gurajada



Topic 3: Pregel

- 22/05/2015
- Malewicz et al.: Pregel: a system for large-scale graph processing, SIGMOD 2010
- Salihoglu and Widom: Optimizing Graph Algorithms on Pregel-like System, VLDB 2014
- Additional Reference: McCune et al.: Thinking Like a Vertex: a Survey of Vertex-Centric Frameworks for Large-Scale Distributed Graph Processing., ACM Computing Surveys 2015
- Preferred background: Databases, Distributed Systems, Message Passing model, Bulk Synchronous Parallel (BSP) model
- What is expected:
 - Introduce BSP, contrast it to Map/Reduce model (Refer to Thinking Like a Vertex by McCune et. al. for explanation of BSP and other communication models)
 - Explain Pregel architecture
 - Explain Pregel applications : graph algorithm implementations on Pregel in detail from second paper
 - Discuss evaluations
- Tutor: Sairam Gurajada



Topic 4: GraphLab

- 22/05/2015
- Gonzalez et al.: PowerGraph: Distributed Graph-Parallel Computation on Natural Graphs, OSDI 2012, [Paper]
- Low et al.: Distributed GraphLab: A Framework for Machine Learning and Data Mining in the Cloud, VLDB 2012, [Paper]
- **Preferred background**: Databases, Distributed Systems, Message Passing model, Map/Reduce, Pregel, fundamental graph algorithms
- What is expected:
 - Introduce GraphLab (second paper has better introduction)
 - Compare and contrast Pregel, GraphLab, PowerGraph, Hadoop
 - Explain distributed GraphLab applications (Netflix recommendation challenge, video co-segmentation, Named entity recognition)
 - Discuss evaluations
- Tutor: Stephan Seufert



Topic 5: Graph Partitioning

- 05/06/2015
- Stanton and Kliot: Streaming Graph Partitioning for Large Distributed Graphs, KDD 2012, [Paper]
- Tsourakakis et al.: FENNEL: streaming graph partitioning for massive scale graphs, WSDM 2014, [Paper]
- Preferred background: Databases, Algorithms and Datastructures
- What is expected:
 - Introduce the problem of balanced graph partitioning
 - Explain the heuristics from the first paper (including METIS)
 - Introduce formalization of the graph partitioning problem (from FENNEL paper) if time permits
 - Explain the streaming algorithm
 - Discuss evaluations
- Tutor: Mohamed Yahya



Topic 6: Large-Scale Graph Engines

- 12/06/2015
- Kyrola et al.: GraphChi: Large-Scale Graph Computation on Just a PC, OSDI 2012
- Shao et al.: Trinity: A Distributed Graph Engine on a Memory Cloud., SIGMOD 2013,
- Preferred background: Databases, Distributed Systems, Operating Systems
- What is expected:
 - Present either GraphChi or Trinity in detail
 - If you want to present Trinity introduce GraphChi briefly
 - Explain the challenges of graph algorithms for evolving graphs and explain how they are handled in GraphChi or Trinity
 - Discuss experiments
- Tutor: Vinay Setty



Topic 7: Comparison of Approaches

- 19/06/2015
- Lu et al.: Large-Scale Distributed Graph Computing Frameworks: An Experimental Evaluation, VLDB 2014
- McCune et al.: Thinking Like a Vertex: a Survey of Vertex-Centric Frameworks for Large-Scale Distributed Graph Processing., ACM Computing Surveys 2015
- Preferred background: Databases, Distributed Systems, Operating Systems
- · What is expected:
 - Summarize all the presented approaches Pregel, GraphLab, GraphChi
 - Compare and contrast these approaches
 - Discuss experiments in detail
 - Use second paper (survey) mostly as additional reference to get more information
- Tutor: Vinay Setty



Topic 8: RDF Graph Processing

- 26/06/2015
- Neumann et al.: RDF-3X: a RISC-style engine for RDF, VLDB 2008,
- Huang et al.: Scalable SPARQL Querying of Large RDF Graphs, VLDB 2011
- Preferred background: Databases
- What is expected:
 - Introduce RDF and SPARQL briefly
 - Introduce RDF-3X discuss limitations
 - Explain the parallel SPARQL engine from second paper
 - Discuss experiments
- Tutor: Mohamed Yahya



Topic 9: Graph Streams

- 03/07/2015
- Aggarwal et al.: On dense pattern mining in graph streams., VLDB 2010
- Chen et al.: Continuous Subgraph Pattern Search over Certain and Uncertain Graph Streams, TKDE 2010
- Preferred background: Databases, Algorithms and Data structures
- What is expected:
 - Introduce graph stream processing
 - Explain (sub)graph isomorphism problem, approximate graph search and dense patterns
 - Briefly explain the approaches from both papers
 - Discuss evaluation
- Tutor: Vinay Setty



Topic 10: Graph Algorithms: Dense Subgraphs and Graph Sketches

- 10/07/2015
- Angel et al.: Dense subgraph maintenance under streaming edge weight updates for real-time story identification, VLDB 2014, [Paper]
- Ahn et al.: Graph sketches: sparsification, spanners, and subgraphs, PODS 2012, [Paper]
- Preferred background: Databases, Algorithms and Data structures
- What is expected:
 - Pick one of the papers and present in detail
 - Someone comfortable with presenting theoretical analysis is preferred
- Tutor: Mohamed Yahya



Presentation

- 45 minutes talk in English
- Around 30 minutes of Q&A
- Talk to your tutor at least 2 weeks before your talk
 - If you get the first topic you have to start now! (it is an easier topic)
- Prepare your own slides (keynote, power point or latex code must be sent to your tutor)
- You must send your slides to and discuss them with your tutor by the Monday before your talk (by 16:00) at the latest, otherwise your talk will be canceled (this is a hard deadline)

Guidelines for Presenting

- It is important to **clearly introduce** the problem and the idea presented in the papers
- In the papers look for:
 - Contributions of the paper
 - Improvements to the state-of-the-art
 - Main **results**
 - Conclusions and future work
- Discuss the **insights** that are provided in the papers
- Identify strengths and weaknesses, question the assumptions, criticize the bad decisions in the papers
- Refer to https://web.stanford.edu/~jacksonm/present.pdf

Report

- Up to 8 pages using the template in the course web page
- Maximum of **4 weeks** after your talk
- Contents of the report:
 - include the **basic idea** presented in the papers
 - summarize the papers
 - include the points raised in the seminar by the **opponents** (collaborate with the opponents if necessary)
 - include the **important results** and **conclusions**
 - **bonus points** if you include the content from the papers outside of your assigned papers (such as any follow up works, new results etc)



- Two opponents per talk
- One of the opponents **introduces** the speaker
- Other opponent moderates the Q&A session
- Both opponents must read the papers thoroughly
 - prepare **questions**
 - challenge the ideas and results in the papers (if there are any weaknesses)

Participation

- Participation in all the talks is mandatory (not just your own)
- If you are **sick** please let us know in advance
 - If you miss more than two seminars you need to provide a note from the doctor
- Active participation is required (we will monitor on who is active and who is not)

What counts for grade?

- Your presentation 50%
- Report 25%
- Your performance as an opponent 15%
- Active participation in the seminars 10%

Registration

- Send an email to <u>vsetty@mpi-inf.mpg.de</u>
 - Your name, student number and semester
 - Your background: mention the relevant lectures you have taken, any seminars you have taken
 - Three topics in the order of the their preference and a brief explanation to show if you have any relevant background for that topic
- Maximum 10 participants
- You will know the topic assignment by Monday, 27th April (may be earlier)