





Two stages:

Stage 1: Local chroma energy distribution features Stage 2: Normalized short-time statistics

→ CENS = Chroma Energy Normalized Statistics

5

Feature Design

Beethoven's Fifth: Bernstein



6

Resolution: 10 features/second Feature window size: 200 milliseconds

Feature Design

Beethoven's Fifth: Bernstein

ç	1		_		~	m		2	'n	m	
5	1										. 1
۵			\sim	~	2		in			1	. 1
ᄚ	ب س]	\sim	_			1		~		1	
ω.	1										
ш.	1	1						-	1		
2											
17	, <u> </u>				~~	~	<u>~</u>	~	-		~~
8	, <u> </u>		-					_	<u></u>	m	~~
<			\sim	1					-		
2	1	~						-		1	. 1
8	1							\sim	i~		_
	0 2	4		6	8 1	10	12	14	16	18	20



Feature Design

Beethoven's Fifth: Bernstein vs. Sawallisch



Resolution: 10 features/second Feature window size: 200 milliseconds

Matching Procedure

Compute CENS feature sequences

- Database $D \rightsquigarrow F[D] = (v^1, v^2, \dots, v^N)$
- Query $Q \rightsquigarrow F[Q] = (w^1, w^2, \dots, w^M)$
- $N \approx 500000, M \approx 20$

• • •	v^{i-1}	v^i	v^{i+1}	•••	v^{i+M-1}	v^{i+M}	•••
		w^1	w^2		w^M		

 $\Delta(i) := \mathsf{local}\;\mathsf{distance}((v^i, v^{i+1}\dots, v^{i+M-1}), (w^1, w^2, \dots, w^M))$

11

 \leadsto Global distance function $\ \Delta: [1:N] \rightarrow [0,1]$

Feature Design

Beethoven's Fifth: Bernstein



Resolution: 1 features/second Feature window size: 4000 milliseconds

Feature Design

Beethoven's Fifth: Bernstein vs. Sawallisch



Resolution: 1 features/second Feature window size: 4000 milliseconds

Matching Procedure

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds



12

10

8



Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds



Matching Procedure

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds



15

Matching Procedure

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds



Matching Procedure

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds



14

Matching Procedure

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds



Matching Procedure

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds



Matching Procedure

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds



Global Tempo Variations

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds Problem: Karajan is much faster \rightsquigarrow useless Δ Solution: Make Bernstein query faster and comute new Δ



Global Tempo Variations

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds Problem: Karajan is much faster \rightsquigarrow useless Δ Solution: Minimize over all resulting Δ 's $\rightsquigarrow \Delta^{min}$



Global Tempo Variations

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds Problem: Karajan is much faster \rightsquigarrow useless Δ Solution?



Global Tempo Variations

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds Problem: Karajan is much faster \rightsquigarrow useless Δ Solution: Compute Δ for various tempi



Experiments

- Audio database > 110 hours, 16.5 GB
- Preprocessing ~> CENS features, 40.3 MB
- Query clip pprox 20 seconds
- Query response time < 10 seconds

20

Experiments

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds



25

29

Experiments

Query: Shostakovich, Waltz/Chailly, first 27 seconds



Conclusions

Strategy: Absorb variations at feature level

- Chroma ~→ invariance to timbre
- Normalization ~> invariance to dynamics
- Smoothing ~> invariance to local time deviations

Experiments

Query: Beethoven's Fifth / Bernstein, first 20 seconds

Rank	Δ^{\min}	Piece	Position	
1	0.0114	Beethoven's Fifth/Bernstein	0 - 21	
2	0.0150	Beethoven's Fifth/Bernstein	101 - 122	
3	0.0438	Beethoven's Fifth/Karajan	86 - 103	
1		÷	:	
10	0.1796	Beethoven's Fifth/Karajan	252 - 271	
11	0.1827	Beethoven (Liszt) Fifth/Scherbakov	0 - 19	
12	0.1945	Beethoven's Fifth/Sawallisch	275 - 296	
13	0.1970	Beethoven's Fifth (Liszt)/Scherbakov	86 - 103	
14	0.2169	Schumann op 97,1/Levine	28 - 43	
÷	÷	÷	:	

28

Experiments

Query: Shostakovich, Waltz/Chailly, first 21 seconds

Δ^{\min}	Piece	Position	
0.0172	Shostakovich/Chailly	0 - 21	
0.0505	Shostakovich/Chailly	41 - 60	
0.0983	Shostakovich/Chailly	180 - 198	
0.1044	Shostakovich/Yablonsky	1 - 19	
0.1090	Shostakovich/Yablonsky	36 - 52	
0.1401	Shostakovich/Yablonsky	156 - 174	
0.1476	Shostakovich/Chailly	144 - 162	
0.1626	Bach BWV 582/Chorzempa	358 - 373	
0.1668	Beethoven op 37,1/Toscanini	12 - 28	
0.1729	Beethoven op 37,1/Pollini	202 - 218	
:	:	:	
	Δ ^{min} 0.0172 0.0505 0.0983 0.1044 0.1090 0.1401 0.1476 0.1626 0.1668 0.1729 	Amin Piece 0.0172 Shostakovich/Chailly 0.0505 Shostakovich/Chailly 0.0983 Shostakovich/Chailly 0.1044 Shostakovich/Chailly 0.1090 Shostakovich/Yablonsky 0.1090 Shostakovich/Yablonsky 0.1401 Shostakovich/Yablonsky 0.1402 Bach BwV 582/Chorzempa 0.1668 Beethoven op 37,1/Toscanini 0.1729 Beethoven op 37,1/Pollini	Amin Piece Position 0.0172 Shostakovich/Chailly 0 - 21 0.0505 Shostakovich/Chailly 41 - 60 0.0983 Shostakovich/Chailly 180 - 198 0.1044 Shostakovich/Chailly 180 - 198 0.1044 Shostakovich/Yablonsky 1 - 19 0.1090 Shostakovich/Yablonsky 36 - 52 0.1401 Shostakovich/Yablonsky 156 - 174 0.1476 Shostakovich/Chailly 144 - 162 0.1626 Bach BWV 582/Chorzempa 358 - 373 0.1668 Beethoven op 37,1/Toscanini 12 - 28 0.1729 Beethoven op 37,1/Pollini 202 - 218 : : : :

Conclusions

Global Matching Procedure

- Strategy: Exact matching and multiple scaled queries

 simulate tempo variations by feature resampling
 - different queries correspond to different tempi
 - indexing possible
- Strategy: Dynamic Time Warping
 - subsequence variant
 - more flexible (in particular for longer queries)
 - indexing hard

