Interactive Editing of Large Point Clouds

Michael Wand

Max Planck-Center VCC Stanford University / MPII Saarbrücken

Alexander Berner, Martin Bokeloh, Arno Fleck, Mark Hoffmann, Philipp Jenke, Benjamin Maier, Dirk Staneker, Andreas Schilling

WSI/GRIS, University of Tübingen



GRAPHICS







Overview

Talk Overview

- Introduction
- Data Structure & Algorithms
- Software Architecture
- Results
- Conclusions & Future Work

.

2

Introduction

Problem Statement

Goal of this work:

• Interactive editor for large point clouds

Two Contributions:

- Fully dynamic out-of-core multi-resolution data structure for point clouds
- Large scene editor architecture

Application Scenario

Example: Urban Scanning

- "Drive-by" 3D scanning devices
- Can acquire a whole city
- Easy to get 10 100 GB of 3D data
- Terabytes for a complete model
- "Google Earth"





Application Scenario

Typical Data Processing

- Automatic processing
 - Filtering, normal estimation, hole filling, etc.
- Manual processing
 - Remove people driving/walking by
 - Paint over license plates numbers
- Global and local operations



Our Approach

New Data Structure:

- Real-time rendering of large models
- Local modifications in real-time
- Global operations in batch mode

Software System:

Automatic scripting for interactive global modifications

Related Work

Out-of-Core Multi-Resolution Data Structures

 [Hoppe 98, Lindstrom 00, Shaffer et al. 01, Lindstrom 03, Yoon et al. 04, Cignoni et al 04, Guthe et al. 04., Shaffer et al. 05]

Point-Based Approaches

- Multi-Resolution [Pfister et al. 00, Rusinkiewicz et al. 00, Wand et al. 01]
- Out-of-core [Rusinkiewicz et al. 01, Gobbetti et al. 04, Wimmer et al. 06]

Related Work

Point-Based Editing:

- Pointshop [Zwicker et al. 02, Pauly et al. 03, Weyrich et al. 04]
- Attribute painting [Boubekeur et al. 06]

Multi-Resolution Editing:

- Wavelet-based Image/Terrain editing [Berman et al. 94, Atlan et al. 06]
- Multi-Resolution Surfaces
 [Zorin et al. 97, Pauly et al. 06]

Related Work

Processing Huge Models:

- Octree partitioning [Cignoni et al. 03]
- Stream processing of meshes
 [Isenburg et al. 03, Isenburg et al. 05]
- Streaming processing of point clouds [Pajarola 05]

Data Structure & Algorithms

0	•	•	•	•	۰	•			•	۰	•		•				•	۰	•	•				•		•	•	•	•	•	0.0		•	•	•	•		•	•	
۲	•	•	۰	۲	۰	•	0.0		•	۰				0.0	0.0		۲	۰		•		•				•	۲			•	0.0		0					•		
۲	•	•	۰	۲		•			•	•				0.0	0.0		۰	•	•	•						•					0.0		•			•		•	•	
۲	•			۲		• •			•	•				0.0	0.0		•	•	•	•						•					0.0		۲			•		•	•	•
•	•			•		•			•	•		•					•	•	•				•	•					•							• •			• 6	

New Data Structure

Overview

- "Surfels" hierarchy [Pfister et al. 00]
- Create multi-resolution representation (MRR) by quantization [Rossignac et al. 93]
- Dynamic octree [Samet 90]
- Update MRR through voxel counting

Surfels Hierarchy



Surfel Hierarchy: [Pfister et al. 00]

- Octree hierarchy
- Sample spacing ~ node side length
- Resolution increases with depth
- Rendering: Decent to pixel resolution (on-screen)

Multi-Resolution

Multi-Resolution Representation (MRR):

- Stored in inner nodes
- Fixed spatial resolution

Quantization:

- 3D Grid
- Sparse storage: hash table
- Fully dynamic





Quantization

Quantization Grid:

- Quantize coordinates on grid
- Store representative point (random, average, centered)
- Dynamic: count points
 - Point counter per cell
 - Add / subtract one
 - Remove representative at zero



Dynamic Octree: [Samet 90]

- Spatial octree, points stored in leafs
- Maximum *n_{max}* points per node
- Dynamic operations: *insert / delete* points



Dynamic MRR:









Add Point:



Delete Point:



Delete Point: 3 4 4 5 2 2 délète point

Delete Point:





Special cases: Split overfull node





Special cases: Split overfull node



Other case: Combine empty nodes \Rightarrow no MRR update necessary



New Point Outside Root:













Out-of-Core Storage

- Simple idea: swap nodes to disc
- LRU-scheme for scheduling



Out-of-Core

Question: How to choose parameters?

- Parameters: *n_{max}*, grid size
- Trade-Off: *latency* vs. *throughput*
- Standard HD:
 - ~ 50 MB/s throughput
 - ~ 10 ms latency
- \Rightarrow 50% efficiency at 500 KB block size
- Typically: Choose 1-5 MB blocks
- Same ratio for GPUs (~50 GB/s vs. ~10 μs)

Nested Hierarchies

What if the granularity does not match?

- OOC/Rendering: Large boxes (typ. 100K points / node)
- Nearest neighbor queries:
 Optimum at 20 points / node
- Other trade-offs: hardware changes (disk/graphics, raytracing, etc...)

Nested Hierarchies

Secondary Data Structures

- Attached to nodes of the hierarchy
- Updated, if content changes
- Dynamically or statically rebuild
- Currently: Secondary octrees (n_{max} = 20), rebuild on changes
- Virtually deeper hierarchy





What Does The New Data Structure Offer?

- Real-time rendering (mostly independent of scene size)
- Local editing in O(*kh*) time, real-time for small *k* [*k* points changed, *h* = height of octree]
- Externally efficient global editing if access pattern is spatially coherent (octree blocking)
- Efficient fine-granular range queries (kNN, rays, balls...)

Software Architecture

					0.0	0.0		•	•		•	•) () 0				•								•					•	
					0.0			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•) •				•				•		•		•					•	
								•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•									•				•		•		•	6				•	
•	•	•	•	•	6.0			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•						•	•	•				•		•		•	6				•	
•	•	•	•	•								•	•	•	•		•	•								•			0			•									

Software System

Implementation:

 Prototype editor for large point clouds



- Part of a the "XGRT" software system
- Available online as open source (GPL) at:

http://www.gris.uni-tuebingen.de/xgrt



Point Cloud Editor

Editing Point Clouds:

- All changes are mapped to *insert* and *delete* operations
- User input / selection via hierarchical range queries (rays, cones, boxes, frustra etc...)
- Selection counters: Each octree node stores the count of selected points (for selection queries)

Handling Large Changes

Open Problem: How to handle *large changes*

- Dynamic operations are O(kh)
 [k points changed, h = height of octree]
- Small, local changes in real-time
- Large changes: no interactive response
- ⇒ Command object architecture

Command Scripting

Command Object Architecture

- Subset of [Meyers 98]
- All editing commands are recorded as command objects
- Can be *replayed* with modified parameters
- Reflective software
 architecture to reduce
 implementation complexity

Co	mmandHistoryEditor				_	. 🗆 >
Com	nand History: 🗙 show line num][Cancel	ed (undo) com	nands
line	class			line	class	
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 •	CmdObjChangeView CmdObjPCCommand CmdObjPCCommand CmdObjPCCommand CmdObjChangeSelectionOnClick CmdObjTransfEdit CmdObjChangeSelectionOnClick CmdObjTransfEdit CmdObjTransfEdit CmdObjTransfEdit CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick CmdObjDrawOnClick	change camera / view frustum Executing PCCommand on scene, typ Executing PCCommand on scene, typ Executing PCCommand on scene, typ select Cloud: (409,289) PCITransfEdit: douds select Cloud: (358,306) (398,299) PCITransfEdit: douds select Cloud: (472,313) (424,297) PCITransfEdit: douds select Cloud: (472,313) (424,297) PCITransfEdit: points draw (488,162) (461,165) (461,165) draw (488,162) (461,165) (461,165) draw (488,162) (461,165) (461,165) draw (488,162) (461,165) (461,165) draw (451,81) (522,82) (:::【 Clear,	
HISU	canceled Comma	and				
multis	elect multiselect					
areaV	Vidth 817					
areaH	leight 590					
select	Mode 8 5					
Load	Save Save with sce	ne			Make mad	ro

Command Scripting

Handling Large Changes:

- Resample input model to a fraction of its original size (say 1:100)
- Simple random streaming simplification
- Perform editing operations
- Reexecute command script with resampling command disabled
- All editing commands are *externally efficient*
- Full scripting language for more flexibility

Results

In-Core Example



Data set: Ephesos (14M pts / ~1GB), Courtesy of M. Wimmer, TU Vienna [Core2 2.13Ghz, ATI X1300]

Out-of-Core Example



Data set: Outdoor Scan (2.2·10⁹ pts / 63.5 GB), J.-M. Frahm, UNC [Pentium-4 3.4Ghz, QuadroFX 3450, 500GB/7200rpm SATA HD]

Command Scripting



Data set: Building Scan (76M pts/6.5 GB), P. Biber / S. Fleck, Univ. of Tübingen [Core2 2.13Ghz, ATI X1300, 250GB/7200rpm SATA HD] 43

Conclusions & Future Work

0	•	•					•	•	۰		•			0.0			۰			•		•	•			0.0		•	•	•	•	•			1
۲	•	۲					•	•	۰					0.0		•	۰					•	•			0.0		•	۲	۲				•	11
۲	0	۲						•	•					0.0			•					•	•			0.0		•	•	•	•		•) (1.1
۲	0							•	•					0.0			•					•	•			0.0		•	۲				•) (1.1
•			•	•	•			•	•	•							•	•	•										•				•		1

Conclusions

Interactive Editor for Large Point Clouds

- Dynamic out-of-core multi-resolution data structure
- Efficient visualization & local editing
- Command scripting for global changes

Future Work:

- Better filtering (fractional weights)
- Handling triangle meshes
- Editing of complex models mostly unexplored



Thanks to: Peter Biber, Matthias Fisher, Jan-Michael Frahm, Sven Fleck, David Gallup, Marc Pollefeys, Wolfgang Straßer, Michael Wimmer

Funding: DFG grant "Perceptual Graphics", BW-FIT grant "Gigapixel Displays", the State of Baden Württemberg, Max Planck Center for Visual Computing and Communication.

Download:

http://www.gris.uni-tuebingen.de/xgrt